

CENTER JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BOARD OF TRUSTEES SPECIAL MEETING

District Board Room, Room 503
Wilson C. Riles Middle School
4747 PFE Road, Roseville, CA 95747

Wednesday, June 3, 2015

MINUTES

OPEN SESSION - CALL TO ORDER - President Kelley called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL - Trustees Present: Mrs. Anderson, Mr. Hunt, Mrs. Kelley, Mrs. Pope,
Mr. Wilson

Administrators Present: Scott Loehr, Superintendent
Craig Deason, Assist. Supt., Operations & Facilities
Jeanne Bess, Director of Fiscal Services
David Grimes, Director of Personnel/Student Services

ANNOUNCEMENT OF ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED IN CLOSED SESSION

1. Conference with Labor Negotiator, David Grimes, Re: CSEA and CUTA (G.C. §54957.6)
2. Public Employee Performance Evaluation (Certificated) - Superintendent (G.C. §54957)

PUBLIC COMMENTS REGARDING ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED IN CLOSED SESSION

CLOSED SESSION - 5:30 p.m.

OPEN SESSION - CALL TO ORDER – 6:05 p.m.

FLAG SALUTE – led by Kelly Kelley

ANNOUNCEMENT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION – the Board met in Closed Session and no action was taken.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA - There was a motion to approve the adoption of the as presented.

Motion: Wilson **Vote:** General Consent
Second: Hunt

COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE REGARDING ITEMS ON THE AGENDA –

LOCAL CONTROL ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN (LCAP) & PROPOSED BUDGET PUBLIC HEARING
– The purpose of this meeting was to set aside time to provide information to the public and to receive public comment and in put on the district's LCAP and spending plans for the upcoming year. The hearing was opened at 6:07 p.m. and closed at 6:31 p.m. Comments from the public are listed below.

Jenny Clark, district employee, noted that for the last 15 years she has been an ELD aide. At one time there was a teacher with her, but because of budget constraints the ELD teachers at each site were pulled. Ms. Clark noted that when the budget is prepared we see if there could be money put the LCAP for funding a teacher at each site. It isn't always possible for the children to receive the services that they should be receiving. Trustee Kelley asked if she is with them in a mainstream classroom? Jenny noted that it is just her and the students. She prepares a lesson plan as well. Mr. Loehr noted that the EL Coordinator is suppose to be doing the lesson plan and they are looking at a push-in model. Ms. Clark noted that there is not enough room in the classrooms to come in and work with the students; what she is doing now works well. Trustee Kelley noted that the person will not always be there but the position should be and what is best for the position should be what is decided. Mr. Loehr noted that he will talk to Mr. Jackson and this position and all of the other EL aide positions are in the classrooms servicing students next year. Mr. Loehr noted that on page 3 of the LCAP it talks about increasing the elementary level staffing for certificated which typically would address this situation. Again he noted that they will rectify the 2 issues; she will no longer be doing lesson plans and she will be going to the classrooms to work with the students.

Trustee Kelley asked if anyone else had any other comments regarding this item. Mr. Loehr noted that with these plans they went from 4 goals to 3 goals (streamlined 1 goals in with another), there is an EL focus, administrative support at the elementary and district level, more supports are in the plan for interventions, and ways to increase engagement of our parents. It is going in the right direction.

Jeanne Bess, Director of Fiscal Services, noted that the proposed budget is just like she's done every year. This document is based on a combination of the January and the May Revise released from the governor primarily because the January release said that we would be getting a 32.18% gap closure; the May Revise raises that to 53.08%, which is a significant change dollar wise. That has not passed the legislature yet so she would much rather come to the board saying that we know we are getting 32%. She noted that she is pretty sure we are going to get the 53% but would much rather build the document based on the 32% and then come to the board in August after the state has adopted their budget and tell them that there are additional fund to add to the budget and ask for input and direction as to how we would budget them. Mr. Loehr noted that if that money comes in we could use that for things we have listed for year 2 and go ahead and be able to bring them in earlier. Ms. Bess then discussed the EPA (Educational Protection Account). That is the block on money which is 23% of our apportionment that has to be set aside and accounted for separately and can not be spent on administrative costs. She has built into the budget and that specific pot, the teaching salaries at the high school and McClellan. It has no bearing on their jobs; it is our way to prove that we did not spend that money on administrative costs. Our multi-year projects are pretty much built on that 32% with the out years having a slightly large gap closure. She noted that cash flow is still important. Deferrals are disappearing and we will see cash flowing in on a regular basis. She does not plan on any short term borrowing that will be necessary, but what we will do is if we have a large purchase, we will have to make sure it is not on a month that we have lower income coming in. New this year, is the county requiring us to explain our reserves that are above the 3% requirement. It is not a state requirement this year, but the county is being proactive and making sure that everyone is on track. Our reserves are a combination of the 3% in the general fund and approximately 3% in fund 17. We have 6.97% total available of reserves for 15/16 and the goal for that additional money is described in Board Policy 3100 where we have an indication that our ultimate goal is to have a reserve that equals at 2 months of our regular general fund expenses, and for us that is roughly 6.7 million dollars. Our 6.97% doesn't begin to reach that is how she explained it to SCOE and the county office and that will suffice as long it meets with your approval. She noted that things are looking good for the budget as long as the state comes through and delivers on what the governor has proposed.

Trustee Hunt asked how the increase in STRS and PERS in the coming years affect the out years; are we going to continue to be strapped? Ms. Bess noted that just for next year, the increase in STRS and PERS from the prior year is \$344,000.00. It will increase slightly more than that each of the out years. The PERS will go higher than the STRS, but by 17/18 year we will be paying of 25% in PERS taxes. Right now, for STRS we are at 11.7938% and PERS we are at about 20.797%. That has been built into the multi-year projection. Trustee Kelley asked if those increases to retirement taxes are state OR federal mandates. Ms. Bess noted that they are state mandates. Trustee Kelley asked if this is to ensure that 20 years from now we don't have a collapsing retirement. Ms. Bess noted that GASB 68 has to be noted in our financial audit this year. GASB 68 will indicate what our liability is expected to be for STRS and PERS if all of our employees were to retire so that has to be disclosed. The increase in the STRS and PERS is helping to support the state's deficit. Mr. Loehr noted that they are trying to set up a trust for that unfunded liability and then each year they will look at making a contribution to that. It will continue to be an audit finding; we will have to continue contributing to. Trustee Anderson asked if we were still paying lifetime medical for any of the retirees. Ms. Bess noted that we are not paying any lifetime medical for anybody. Nobody has had that in the 12 years she has been here. It is 5 years, employee only, or until age 65, whichever comes first. We do not pay any benefits after age 65. Ms. Bess noted that all of our Golden Handshakes and things like that have all been finally paid off this year so we are not making any debt payment on those plans. Mr. Noted that when they did those things (golden handshake, etc.) the employee had to be in the district at least 20 years.

Ms. Bess noted that everything that is outlined in the LCAP is supported within the budget. She then noted that she got a call today from the county office that is reviewing the LCAP document,. There were a couple minor tweaks that were suggested for next time. When they were done discussing, she wanted to tell Ms. Bess that this was the best LCAP plan that she has reviewed this year; it was very well done and very easy to read. Mr. Loehr noted that not only do we review and approve this, but the county does as well. Trustee Anderson noted that Ms. Bess has done a fantastic job and appreciates it 100% for all of the work and hours she has put in to what is a times a tedious job. Trustee Hunt asked if there are any incoming funds for Fund 25. Ms. Bess noted that we have seen a tiny bit; there was about \$30,000 last year. That is the most we have seen in the last few years. We were able to decrease the deficit a little in the fund. Trustee Pope thanked Ms. Bess for all of her hard work.

Trustee Kelley noted that this will be an action item at the next board meeting, Wednesday, June 10, 2015.

ADVANCE PLANNING

- a. *Future Meeting Dates:*
 - i. *Regular Meeting: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 @ 6:00 p.m. - District Board Room - Room 503, located at Riles Middle School, 4747 PFE Road, Roseville, CA 95747*
 - b. *Suggested Agenda Items: none*

ADJOURNMENT – 6:32 p.m.

Motion: Pope
Second: Wilson

Vote: General Consent

